

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/646,925	Applicant(s) CHATFIELD, STEVEN NEVILLE	
	Examiner Vanessa L. Ford	Art Unit 1645	

All Participants:

(1) Vanessa L. Ford.

(2) Gary Tanigawa.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Allowed claims

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed that the 312 amendment filed October 1, 2005 will be entered in part. Amendments to the specification and claims 7 and 11 are entered. However, the amendment indicated that claim 16 was withdrawn. Claim 16 was rejoined and is allowed. See Interview Summary dated July 26, 2004. Therefore, an Examiner's amendment will be made to indicated that claim 16 is allowed and not withdrawn. Applicant agreed..